

2019 Horticulture Classes—Beginning August

Two horticulture classes will be offered this fall. The first will be our classic Horticulture for Landscapes, Gardens, and Orchards level I class, covering many of the situations and questions encountered in home landscaping and food production. That class is to run for 15 weeks, beginning Tuesday, August 27, with start time 5:30 pm, here at the UC Cooperative Extension office, 1031 S. Mt. Vernon Ave. Please register at link below:

<http://ucanr.edu/hort1>

A special topics class will meet Thursdays, with subjects reflecting input received from past horticulture class participants. Some of the topics include hydroponics, no-till agriculture, turf weeds and diseases, horticulture in Thailand, plus, of course, the Amazing Video showing water movement in soil. This special topics class begins Thursday, August 29, with start time 5:30 pm, and also at the UCCE office. Please register at link below:

<http://ucanr.edu/hortspecial>

Cost for each class is \$75.

The syllabi for both classes will be posted to our UCCE website at <http://cekern.ucanr.edu/>.

Horticultural Study Tour XI: May 2020 Wales, Edinburgh, Northern Scotland

It's not too late, but the numbers of participants are up for our eleventh horticultural study tour planned for May, 2020, to visit the extraordinary gardens of Wales and Scotland. If you want to go, please don't delay, since we will close registration soon. One can register for any of the three individual segments, participate in two of the three, or participate in all.

In summary, we plan to begin in the London area with free time and then proceed west, first to visit Wisley Garden, the flagship garden of the Royal Horticultural Society. We continue west, stopping to see Stonehenge, perhaps the world's most famous prehistoric monument, and Salisbury Cathedral, begun 1220 AD, still a working church and one of the finest examples of gothic architecture in the UK.

We then proceed to Wales, which has quite a mix of gardens, promising to be scenic, interesting, and fabulous. Then, for those interested, we continue to Scotland, specifically Edinburgh, for several days, including a visit to the Royal Botanic Garden and the National

Museum, with its superb displays of geology and Earth history. We are then planning to go north to Crathes Castle with its outstanding formal and informal gardens, and then to Orkney Island to see the best-preserved prehistoric village in northern Europe, and also to see the Ring of Brodgar, both dated to about 3000 BC. Then, we work our way west and south to the Island of Skye and coastal gardens.

I'd like to thank Travel Gallery of Pasadena for again handling the business arrangements for our horticultural tour. The tour itinerary, pricing and registration information are available on the Travel Gallery website at <https://www.travelgallery.com/hort-wales-2020>. (If the link doesn't work, you can copy and paste, or just go to travelgallery.com and then "join your group" and you'll find it.)

Return to Chernobyl

We are starting to develop plans regarding a fifth, and likely final (for us) return to Chernobyl. The Ukraine president, Volodymyr Zelensky, wants to further open the Chernobyl area to visitors—the Chernobyl Exclusion Zone is now the number one tourist attraction in Ukraine. That said, we don't plan to bring home any souvenirs from the Zone. A visit is a fascinating look at the aftermath of the reactor incident and the shadow of the Soviet system that produced it.

And Regarding the Chernobyl Accident...

A number of people have asked me about the HBO miniseries on Chernobyl. In response, I have offered to them and now offer here outside commentary on the content of that series and its representation of the accident. In particular, please note the Balanov reference although a few years old (from *Lancet*, below) as well as the comments of a UCLA doctor who came to help after the accident, and also comments from the New York Times.

<https://www.newyorker.com/news/our-columnists/what-hbos-chernobyl-got-right-and-what-it-got-terribly-wrong>

<https://www.forbes.com/sites/michaelshellenberger/2019/06/11/top-ucla-doctor-denounces-depiction-of-radiation-in-hbos-chernobyl-as-wrong-and-dangerous/#3cc03bec1e07>

According to Shellenberger, much of the HBO series was based on *Voices of Chernobyl*, which is a novel.

Profile

Mikhail Balonov: understanding the legacy of Chernobyl

It was a few months after the Chernobyl disaster, in 1986, when Mikhail Balonov found himself addressing a large crowd of Russians. The crowd, understandably, was agitated and distrustful of Balonov, a Russian radiobiologist. It had fallen to Balonov to try to convince the inhabitants living in the area, which had been exposed to radiation from the nuclear power-plant explosion, that the situation was not hopeless and that they could take countermeasures to protect themselves from environmental radiation. "When people are so excited they only respond when you are emotional also", Balonov told *The Lancet*. His strategy was to present the crowd with a government scientist, who, along with his pregnant wife, would be living and working in the contaminated area. "That was probably the best argument you could make to these people. Then they start to listen to you", says Balonov, who is a consultant for the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA).

Finding the shared ground between hard science and popular perception has been one of the most difficult issues Balonov has had to face during a career of more than 30 years in radiation protection. Born during World War II in what was then Leningrad, Balonov has degrees in radiobiology, radiation hygiene, nuclear physics, and biophysics. Last month, he finished one of his most challenging assignments yet, as scientific secretary of the environmental group of the Chernobyl Forum, a consortium that brought together more than 100 scientists from eight international organisations, including WHO, and stakeholders from Russia, Ukraine, and Belarus. The Forum was set up by the IAEA in 2003 to try to establish a scientific consensus about the effects of Chernobyl. The final report, *Chernobyl's Legacy: Health, Environmental and Socio-Economic Impacts*, was released in autumn, 2005. As the 20th anniversary of the disaster approaches, on April 26, 2006, the controversy over its legacy continues.

The Chernobyl Forum report estimates that 4000 people may die from the long-term effects of the radiation released during the accident, but that so far only 50 deaths can actually be attributed to leaked radiation. In particular, the report notes a link between the effects of radiation and thyroid cancer among children. The report found no evidence of any increase in malformations among animals or people. But public behaviour was affected by the explosion, and in the year after the accident, rates of abortion in the region increased significantly, said Louisa Vinton, who coordinates the United Nations' Chernobyl policy. The public was, and in some cases still is, "consumed by anxiety", says Vinton.

Balonov's experience as an expert who was on the scene from the beginning helped to give credibility to the international experts' arguments that the impact of the

disaster was much less than was first thought. "He has the steady calm of having lived through hundreds of these debates", says Vinton. "He can at least present a fair picture if not win the whole argument." It also made an important difference that Balonov is Russian, said Burton Bennett, who chaired the Chernobyl Forum. An important aspect of the Forum was incorporating the views of politicians and other stakeholders from Russia, Ukraine, and Belarus. "He could understand their point of view", said Bennett.

The Chernobyl Forum's work continues to be questioned by both victims' groups and other scientists. A recent report in *The Guardian* cited claims that 500 000 people died from the catastrophe, and that another 30 000 people are expected to develop cancers caused by exposure to radiation. In another article, Linda Walker, the national coordinator of the Chernobyl Children's Project in the UK, argued that the IAEA-led Forum ignored many health effects because of its bias in favour of nuclear power.

Balonov counters such criticisms by saying that the Forum's report incorporated the work of the world's best epidemiologists, who would gain nothing from ignoring important findings. "They are hunters for hot facts. They would have been happy to find something", he says. Blaming the region's increased mortality on radioactivity is difficult in a country that has as many public-health problems as contemporary Russia, says Balonov. The Forum's report attributed many of the region's current health problems to high rates of smoking and drinking and to poor diet.

Since the publication of their final report, Balonov has stepped down from his post on the Chernobyl Forum, but he will continue to work as a consultant for the IAEA, before returning to St Petersburg to work at the government's Institute of Radiation Hygiene. With the forthcoming 20th anniversary this month, Balonov is fully aware that the battle over the legacy of environmental and health effects remains a contested issue. "During the past 20 years it was extremely difficult to influence the public's thinking, to give them scientific information. They didn't want to listen to it", he says. "We professionals actually lost the battle for the public's trust. They didn't trust us; they wanted to listen to amateurs and the politicians, who have their own games. That is one of the most difficult lessons from Chernobyl, that it is very difficult to gain the public's trust. Probably we did not do enough", he told *The Lancet*, adding that "It's a complicated case, it's a subject for a good psychologist".

Samuel Loewenberg
mail@samloewenberg.com



See *World Report* page 1305

Environmental Horticulture/Environmental Science

Disclaimer: Discussion of research findings necessitates using trade names. This does not constitute product endorsement, nor does it suggest products not listed would not be suitable for use. Some research results included involve use of chemicals which are currently registered for use, or may involve use which would be considered out of label. These results are reported but are not a recommendation from the University of California for use. Consult the label and use it as the basis of all recommendations.

The University of California, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources (UC ANR) prohibits discrimination against or harassment of any person in any of its programs or activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender, gender expression, gender identity, pregnancy (which includes pregnancy, childbirth, and medical conditions related to pregnancy or childbirth), physical or mental disability, medical condition (cancer-related or genetic characteristics), genetic information (including family medical history), ancestry, marital status, age, sexual orientation, citizenship, status as a protected veteran or service in the uniformed services (as defined by the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act of 1994 [USERRA]), as well as state military and naval service. UC ANR policy prohibits retaliation against any employee or person in any of its programs or activities for bringing a complaint of discrimination or harassment. UC ANR policy also prohibits retaliation against a person who assists someone with a complaint of discrimination or harassment, or participates in any manner in an investigation or resolution of a complaint of discrimination or harassment. Retaliation includes threats, intimidation, reprisals, and/or adverse actions related to any of its programs or activities. UC ANR is an Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Employer. All qualified applicants will receive consideration for employment and/or participation in any of its programs or activities without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, disability, age or protected veteran status. University policy is intended to be consistent with the provisions of applicable State and Federal laws. Inquiries regarding the University's equal employment opportunity policies may be directed to John Fox, Affirmative Action Compliance Officer and Title IX Officer, University of California, Agriculture and Natural Resources, 2801 Second Street, Davis, CA 95618, (530) 750-1343. Email: jsafox@ucanr.edu. Website: [http://ucanr.edu/sites/anrstaff/Diversity/Affirmative Action/](http://ucanr.edu/sites/anrstaff/Diversity/Affirmative_Action/).
