

Fall Newsletter

Now that we have gone through the summer vegetable crop season we can look back and evaluate some of the issues we saw. The winter and early spring were unusually wet and cold for California which delayed planting of many crops. But then the late spring and all of summer was very hot many days in a row of above 100 F.

Southern Blight, caused by the fungus *Sclerotium rolfsii*, really became an issue for many growers in the state. Cassandra Swett is the new Plant Pathology Specialist at UC Davis and she noticed a number of samples coming into her lab with southern blight. She noticed it on crops not unusually infected with southern blight and from areas not usually reporting problems with southern blight. I was notified of a field with southern blight in March of this year. Normally I don't expect to see it until May.

In response to this Cassandra Swett and I wrote an article on this disease that can infect many different crops. Also in this newsletter I included some exciting results from a southern blight trial we did here in Kern County in 2017.

P.S. Yes I am still around and I am happy and willing to help you with any issues you may have in the field. I check my email frequently and that may be the quickest way to get ahold of me; jnunez@ucdavis.edu

Joe

Southern Blight Cliff Notes- 2017

Cassandra Swett
Assistant Cooperative Extension Specialist, ANR
Department of Plant Pathology
University of California, Davis

Joe Nunez
Farm Advisor, Emeritus
Cooperative Extension, ANR, Kern County

This was a big year for southern blight. Out of over forty crown rot samples received in the UC Davis Vegetable and Agronomic Crop Pathology program (Swett lab) in summer 2017, 50% were southern blight, 40% were Fusarium root and crown rot, and 10% were attributed to other causes. This disease was commonly reported to cause over 50% mortality in affected fields.

Southern blight is a very destructive, fast acting crown rot disease that rapidly kills the plant. Over 500 different plants are southern blight hosts. Affected crops in 2017 included pepper, potato, tomato, cucumber, canary bean, chard, and sunflower. Most unusually, this disease caused major losses in many northern counties in the San Joaquin and Sacramento valley, where it is not typically an issue, including Colusa, Yolo, Contra Costa, San Joaquin, and Merced.

Southern blight is not typically considered to be a widespread problem in California--major impacts are usually restricted to the Kern County area. The widespread distribution we saw this year is NOT likely due to pathogen spread to new fields. Southern blight is favored by high temperatures (over 86°F), high soil moisture, dense canopies, and frequent irrigation. It seems most plausible that a combination of late planting dates and record high summer temperatures created unusually favorable conditions for the pathogen in the northern part of the valley.

Although not a new disease to the state, the increased damage from the disease this year may mean that this will be a bigger issue next year if the environment is conducive and the disease is not properly managed. Southern blight is caused by the fungus *Sclerotium rolfsii*. The fungus survives in soil as hardened structures called sclerotia for at least five years. Each infected plant can literally produce tens of thousands of sclerotia and then become more widely distributed in a field with each successive field operation. Although this disease may initially only affect a few plants in the field, southern blight can be serious enough to cause significant yield loss within a season or two. With a host range of over 500 plants, this fungus can easily persist from year to year in infected crop debris.

How to identify southern blight in the field

Southern blight misdiagnosis is likely if it occurs in an area where it has not historically been an issue. Scouting and mapping infested locations in fields during the summer months will greatly help in determining what options can be taken before the sclerotia levels become too numerous and cause severe crop loss. It can be easy to confuse southern blight with other crown rotting diseases, for example Fusarium crown rot. Accurate diagnosis is critical to effective control. You can distinguish southern blight in the field based on the following diagnostic traits, one or more of which is often, but not always present. Part of the trick to diagnosis is not to just look at the plant, but also look at the soil right around the crown.

These small tan to reddish brown sclerotia form at the base of the plant and / or in the soil right around the plant. The sclerotia look like alfalfa seeds when young but turn brown with age (Photo credit: J. Nunez).



White fungal mycelium (thread-like strands) growing INTO the soil. No other fungus will grow extensively in the soil. Sometimes you also see sclerotia in the soil (R)
(Photo credit: R: C. Swett, L: J. Nunez).



White fan like mycelial (thread like) growing on the crown / affected tissues. Severely affected plants can have vascular discoloration, which may be confused with Fusarium wilt (Photo credit: J. Nunez).



Plants go from looking healthy to dead in less than a week—this is much faster than most crown rots (Photo credit: C. Swett).



In affected fields, the disease patches are roughly circular. From a distance, they look like bands of dead plants (Photo credit: L: J. Nunez, R: C. Swett).



If none of these characteristics are present, the best way to diagnose the disease is to put infected tissue in a plastic bag on a moist paper towel and leave at room temperature for one to two weeks. The southern blight fungus will produce distinct fan like growth within about 5-7 days. After about 5-14 days, it will make round white balls that then turn into amber colored sclerotia (R). Both the fan growth and the sclerotia are unique to this fungus (Photo credit: C. Swett).



In-season fungicide applications

Southern blight acts fast, so as soon as you detect the problem, it is critical to get out there to spray. Fungicides work by covering the crown tissue both above and below the soil, killing the fungus around the crown. For vegetable crops, fungicides such as flutolanil, penthiopyrad, and tebuconazole are known to be effective in the management of southern blight. However these products are registered on only a few vegetables so make sure to check crop registration before using these on any vegetable crop. Also, some of these fungicides have severe plant-back restrictions, so crop rotations need to be carefully planned. As always, make sure to read and follow label directions to avoid any problems.

Perhaps the biggest obstacle to fungicide control of southern blight is application timing and method. Because southern blight is basically a summer time disease it rears its ugly head when most crops are near maturity with a full canopy cover. Getting fungicides to the base of the stem and onto the surface of the soil is very difficult especially for fields on drip irrigation systems. Chemigation through sprinklers is a better option especially on crops like garlic and onions which do not have a dense canopy.

If the crop has a dense canopy that the fungicide cannot penetrate, then a fungicide application will not work to control the disease. Fungicide control is most effective in narrow canopy crops, including onions, garlic, beans, sunflower, and potato to a certain extent. In dense canopy such as tomatoes, melons, peppers,

and vegetables grown for seed, like lettuce, fungicide applications are only effective early in the season, before the canopy expands.

The question has been raised regarding whether it's possible to apply the fungicide by drip chemigation (through the drip line). If it is buried drip, then no—it would take a lot of water to get to the soil surface, which would be likely to cause other problems; several trials have been conducted in the past in Kern County, and it's never worked. Surface drip would work, but this irrigation method is not common in California.

Managing soil moisture

Manipulating your irrigation to maintain a dry surface may help reduce losses if you detect the fungus in your field. The one advantage of drip irrigation is that the soil surface can more easily be kept dry, which inhibits infection by *Sclerotium rolfsii*. However, alternating wet and dry periods can be a problem--wet periods followed by dry episodes can be particularly conducive to disease development.

Crop rotation

If you have detected southern blight in your field, one of the best things you can do the following year is to plant a narrow canopy crop that you can effectively manage with fungicides. The disease can be effectively controlled in these crops, preventing sclerotia from increasing.

Rotations with non-host crops are limited because of the wide host range of the pathogen. Poor-host crops such as corn and small grains (wheat, millet, oats) can help to significantly reduce sclerotia levels in the field. Most if not all of these crops can become infected by the fungus, but either they are not good hosts and/or the environmental conditions during the growing season are not conducive to pathogen growth. For instance, wheat can be a host, but it's typically too cold for fungal growth during the time that wheat is grown. On the other hand, rotation with highly susceptible crops such as legumes such as beans, peas and hairy vetch can greatly increase soil infestation levels. Mustard cover crops can suppress southern blight, and may be useful for organic producers, where fumigation is not an option.

Soil treatment

Once sclerotia levels become too numerous in a field then fumigation should be considered. Fumigation with metam sodium (Vapam, K-Pam) can be effective, but ideally it needs to be applied through sprinklers so it percolates down into the soil at least 6 inches to kill the fungus in the soil zone where it is active. Because of restrictions in application, sprinkler application is not allowed in many counties, so you have to shank it in. This method of application is not as effective since the fumigant does not penetrate deep enough into the soil. Fields with shanked applications may still suffer major southern blight losses. Also, the requirement of buffer zones for metam applications means the field may become re-infested in short time as sclerotia are moved from the buffer zones into the rest of the field with various tractor operations.

Deep plowing will bury the sclerotia and get it away from attacking plants at the soil line. Sclerotia deeper than 6 inches are usually parasitized by other microbes and are killed over time. Of course, plowing is not an option for fields where buried drip irrigation systems are already installed.

Sclerotia near the surface of the soil can be killed when exposed to high temperatures (105-120°F) for two to four weeks during the summer months. Solarization alone is not generally considered a viable management strategy, but when soils were solarized before addition of a biological control or a fungicide, disease was reduced by 70-100% compared to the same biological or chemical treatment without solarization. Make sure to prepare the soil for planting before solarizing, since cultivation and the incorporation of amendments can bring buried sclerotia back to the upper soil layers.

There are several fungi that appear to have some antagonistic effects on southern blight including RootShield (*Trichoderma harzianum*). There are no field studies that indicate efficacy of bacterial products (eg. Serenade Soil) and, to the authors knowledge, there are no studies to support the use of plant defense-inducing products such as Regalia.

Disease resistance

For most crops, southern blight resistant cultivars are not available. However, for vegetable crops such as tomatoes, there are some rootstocks reported to be resistant to southern blight, which are currently under study in field trials in California. These may be a promising option for small scale and organic producers.

Crystal Gazing--What's going to happen next year?

This was an unusually hot summer and crops went in late due to late spring rains—this combination of factors likely accounts for the widespread occurrence. If crops are planted on time next year, and/or it is not so hot, then the disease might not rear its ugly head. But one thing to keep in mind for folks that had fields with southern blight this year—now, inoculum levels are higher, so it's going to take less to become a problem next year.

Southern Blight of Tomato Rootstock Trial 2017

Joe Nunez, UCCE Vegetable Farm Advisor, Emeritus

Here in California, particularly the Southern San Joaquin Valley, southern blight of processing tomatoes is a very serious issue. It is very difficult if not impossible to target fungicides at the base of the tomato plants and on top of the soil surface. This is due to the growing practices used by processing tomato growers such as buried drip and the large canopy produced by the plants. The fungus that causes southern blight, *Sclerotium rolfsii*, becomes active when temperatures reach the mid-80's but by then the canopy is too dense to make foliar applications and the drip line is too deep to apply fungicides by chemigation. Although fumigation with metam sodium is effective it has its own issues which do not need to be addressed here.

Researchers in North Carolina and Florida have found that southern blight of tomatoes can be managed very well with the use of grafted tomatoes. Tomato varieties exist that have essentially total resistance to southern blight but have no commercial value other than use as a rootstock. Using these tomato varieties as rootstocks have allowed commercial production of fresh market tomatoes in fields that otherwise would be devastated by southern blight.

Doing an internet search of the following paper will allow you to read the results of the work done by the researchers from NCSU: **Grafting Tomato with Interspecific Rootstock to Manage Diseases Caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii* and Southern Root-Knot Nematode**. **C. L. Rivard**, North Carolina State University, Department of Plant Pathology, Raleigh, NC 27695; **S. O'Connell**, North Carolina State University, Department of Horticultural Science, Raleigh, NC 27695; **M. M. Peet**, North Carolina State University, Department of Horticulture Science, Raleigh, NC 27695; and **F. J. Louws**, North Carolina State University, Department of Plant Pathology, Raleigh, NC 27695. *Plant Disease*. August 2010, Volume 94, Number 8

To see if we could apply this technology to processing tomatoes in California we conducted several processing tomato rootstock trials in fields known to be infested with *Sclerotium rolfsii*. We used normal

transplants of H8504 and H5608 along with scions of H8504 and H5608 onto rootstocks of Maxifort. Maxifort is a very vigorous tomato plant that has proven resistance to *S. rolsii* and root knot nematode.

We immediately noticed a remarkable increase in plant vigor and growth with the use of the grafted plants over the non-grafted tomatoes plants. As the season progressed and southern blight began to develop we counted the number of plants infected by southern blight on a weekly basis. Figure 1 shows the results of that data collection. As it can be seen by the graph in figure 1 the plants on Maxifort rootstocks had significantly less infection by *S. rolsii*. Table 1 shows the harvest results of the 600 foot long plots. Again we were able to show a significant difference with the use of Maxifort rootstocks in yield.

But all is not perfect in the use of grafted processing tomatoes for the management of southern blight which we recognize. The first issue is the costs of using grafted tomato transplants over normal transplants. The costs for grafted tomato transplants are estimated to be 3 to 4 times the costs of a normal tomato transplant. For fresh market tomatoes this increase in costs can be justified but for processing tomatoes the profit margins are too small. But if the practice of using grafted tomatoes is adopted by the processing tomato industry then perhaps costs of producing grafted tomatoes can be reduced.

The other issue is that in our trials this year the graft union was planted below the soil line. This means that the susceptible scion was in contact with the soil allowing infection by *S. rolsii* to occur. As figure 1 shows we did see some infection on the Maxifort rootstock plants. But this is likely not due to failure of the resistant rootstock but because the scion became infected. Adjustments in planting depth and moving the graft union higher up the plant will be needed to make this technology even more effective. We hope to address this issue in next year's trial work.

Other things to consider is that these rootstocks that have been screened by others, including Maxifort that we used, have been reported to have resistance or tolerance to many other soil born pathogens of tomatoes. Although the costs will be greater than normal transplants there may be several issues that could be addressed with the use of grafted tomatoes.

We would like to thank the generous support of the California Tomato Research Institute for sponsoring this work and for J.G. Boswell farming company their support and assistance in these trials.

Southern Blight Trial at Boswell 2017 – harvest data 9/18/2017

Table 1. Tomato fruit yield of rootstock trial.

	Average Weight in Lbs per plot (600 ft x 5 ft)
1. H8504	1331.1 AB
2. H8504 on Maxifort rootstock	1638.9 A
3. H5608	1214.3 B
4. <u>H5608 on Maxifort rootstock</u>	<u>1644.9 A</u>
Probability	0.0678
% CV	23.58
LSD p=0.05	NS
LSD p=0.10	318.6


Contrast Comparisons

Normal transplant versus on Maxifort rootstock

Sum of Squares=953865.143

Probability = 0.011

Figure 1. Average number of southern blight infected plants per 50 feet of row.



Joe Nunez, Farm Advisor Emeritus, Vegetable Crops/Plant Pathology
 jnunez@ucdavis.edu

The University of California, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources (UC ANR) prohibits discrimination against or harassment of any person in any of its programs or activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender, gender expression, gender identity, pregnancy (which includes pregnancy, childbirth, and medical conditions related to pregnancy or childbirth), physical or mental disability, medical condition (cancer-related or genetic characteristics), genetic information (including family medical history), ancestry, marital status, age, sexual orientation, citizenship, status as a protected veteran or service in the uniformed services (as defined by the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act of 1994 [USERRA]), as well as state military and naval service. UC ANR policy prohibits retaliation against any employee or person in any of its programs or activities for bringing a complaint of discrimination or harassment. UC ANR policy also prohibits retaliation against a person who assists someone with a complaint of discrimination or harassment, or participates in any manner in an investigation or resolution of a complaint of discrimination or harassment. Retaliation includes threats, intimidation, reprisals, and/or adverse actions related to any of its programs or activities. UC ANR is an Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Employer. All qualified applicants will receive consideration for employment and/or participation in any of its programs or activities without regard to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, disability, age or protected veteran status. University policy is intended to be consistent with the provisions of applicable State and Federal laws. Inquiries regarding the University's equal employment opportunity policies may be directed to: John I. Sims, Affirmative Action Compliance Officer and Title IX Officer, University of California, Agriculture and Natural Resources, 2801 Second Street, Davis, CA 95618, (530) 750-1397. Email: jsims@ucanr.edu. Website: http://ucanr.edu/sites/anrstaff/Diversity/Affirmative_Action/

Discussion of research findings necessitates using trade names. This does not constitute product endorsement, nor does it suggest products not listed would not be suitable for use. Some research results included involve use of chemicals which are currently registered for use, or may involve use which would be considered out of label. These results are reported but are not a recommendation from the University of California for use. Consult the label and use it as the basis of all recommendations.